

NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE  
BOUNDEDNESS OF GENUINE SINGULAR INTEGRAL  
OPERATORS IN LOCAL MORREY-TYPE SPACES <sup>1</sup>

V.I. Burenkov, V.S. Guliyev, A. Serbetci, T.V. Tararykova

Communicated by E.D. Nursultanov

**Keywords and phrases:** singular integral operator, maximal operator, local Morrey-type spaces, Hardy operator on the cone of monotonic functions, weak Morrey-type spaces, weighted estimates.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 42B20, 42B25, 42B35.

**Abstract.** The problem of the boundedness of a Calderon-Zygmund singular integral operator  $T$  in local Morrey-type spaces is reduced to the boundedness of the Hardy operator in weighted  $L_p$ -spaces on the cone of non-negative non-increasing functions. This allows obtaining sufficient conditions for the boundedness of  $T$  in local Morrey-type spaces for all admissible values of the parameters. Moreover, for a certain range of the parameters, for a genuine Calderon-Zygmund singular integral operator these sufficient conditions coincide with the necessary ones.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $T$  be a singular integral Calderon-Zygmund operator, briefly a Calderon-Zygmund operator, i. e., a linear operator bounded from  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  taking all infinitely continuously differentiable functions  $f$  with compact support to the functions  $Tf \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  represented by

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)f(y) dy \quad \text{a. e. on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{supp}f. \quad (1)$$

Here  $K(x, y)$  is a continuous function away from the diagonal which satisfies the standard estimates: there exist  $c_1 > 0$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  such that

$$|K(x, y)| \leq c_1|x - y|^{-n} \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>V.I. Burenkov's research was partially supported by the grant of RFBR (project 09-01-00093a). V.I. Burenkov's and T.V. Tararykova's research was partially supported by the grant of RFBR-DFG (project 10-01-91331). V.S. Guliyev's and A. Serbetci's research was partially supported by the grant of Tubitak (project B.02.TBT.0.06.01-220.01-619-48891). V.S. Guliyev's research was partially supported by the grant of the Azerbaijan-U.S. Bilateral Grants Program II (project ANSF Award / AZM1-3110-BA-08).

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \neq y$ , and

$$|K(x, y) - K(x', y)| + |K(y, x) - K(y, x')| \leq c_1 \left( \frac{|x - x'|}{|x - y|} \right)^\varepsilon |x - y|^{-n} \quad (3)$$

whenever  $2|x - x'| \leq |x - y|$ . Such operators were introduced in [9].

In the theory of partial differential equations, together with weighted  $L_{p,w}$ -spaces, Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$  play an important role. They were introduced by C. Morrey in 1938 [17] and defined as follows. For  $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$  if  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}} \equiv \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))} < \infty,$$

where  $B(x, r)$  is the open ball centered at  $x$  of radius  $r$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}_{p,0} = L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{p,n} = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $\lambda < 0$  or  $\lambda > n$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda} = \Theta$ , where  $\Theta$  is the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

These spaces appeared to be quite useful in the study of the local behaviour of solutions to elliptic partial differential equations, apriori estimates and other topics in the theory of partial differential equations.

We also denote by  $W\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$  the weak Morrey space of all functions  $f \in WL_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}} \equiv \|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \|f\|_{WL_p(B(x,r))} < \infty,$$

where  $WL_p$  denotes the weak  $L_p$ -space.

The classical result for Calderon-Zygmund operators states that if  $1 < p < \infty$  then  $T$  is bounded from  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and if  $p = 1$  then  $T$  is bounded from  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $WL_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see, for example, [22]).

J. Peetre [20] studied the boundedness of singular integral operators in Morrey spaces, and his results imply the following statement for Calderon-Zygmund operators  $T$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \lambda < n$ . Then  $T$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ .*

If  $\lambda = 0$ , the statement of Theorem 1 reduces to the aforementioned result for  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

If in place of the power function  $r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$  we consider any positive measurable weight function  $w$ , then it becomes generalised Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{p,w}$ . T. Mizuhara [16], E. Nakai [18] and V. S. Guliyev [11] (see also [14]) generalised Theorem 1 and obtained sufficient conditions on weights  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  ensuring the boundedness of  $T$  from  $\mathcal{M}_{p,w_1}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{p,w_2}$ . The following statement, containing the results in [16], [18] was proved in [11] (see also [14]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Moreover, let  $w_1, w_2$  be positive measurable functions satisfying the following condition: there exists  $c_2 > 0$  such that for all  $t > 0$*

$$\|w_1^{-1}(r) r^{-\frac{n}{p}-1}\|_{L_1(t,\infty)} \leq c_2 w_2^{-1}(t) t^{-\frac{n}{p}}. \quad (4)$$

*Then  $T$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}_{p,w_1}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{p,w_2}$ .*

Earlier, in the [16], [18] Theorem 2 was proved for the case  $w_1 = w_2 = w$ , where  $w$  satisfies the pointwise doubling condition, namely for some  $c_3 > 0$

$$c_3^{-1}w(t) \leq w(r) \leq c_3w(t)$$

for all  $t, r > 0$  such that  $0 < r \leq t \leq 2r$ .

We say that  $T$  is a *genuine* Calderon-Zygmund operator if it is a Calderon-Zygmund operator and for  $n \geq 2$  there exist  $c_4, c_5 > 0$  and a rotation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that

$$K(x, y) \geq \frac{c_4}{|x - y|^n} \quad (5)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for all  $y \in C_x = x + \mathcal{R}(C)$ , where

$$C = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \equiv (\bar{y}, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_n > c_5 |\bar{y}|\}.$$

If  $n = 1$  then we assume that there exists  $c_4 > 0$  such that

$$K(x, y) \geq \frac{c_4}{|x - y|}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $y > x$  or for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $y < x$ .

Clearly the Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}$ , in which case  $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{x-y}$ , is a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator because  $K(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{|x-y|}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $y < x$ . Let  $T$  be a Calderon-Zygmund operator of the form

$$K(x, y) = \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right)}{|x-y|^n},$$

where  $\Omega$  is a continuous function on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Omega \not\equiv 0$ , which is homogeneous of order zero and such that  $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(\eta) d\eta = 0$ . The properties of  $\Omega$  imply that there exist  $c_6 > 0$ ,  $\eta_0 \in S^{n-1}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\Omega(\eta) \geq c_6$  for all  $\eta \in S^{n-1} \cap B(\eta_0, \delta)$ . Hence condition (5) is satisfied.

In this paper we consider local and global Morrey-type spaces  $LM_{p\theta, w}$  and  $GM_{p\theta, w}$  as in [2]–[7], [11]–[15], where the boundedness of the maximal operator, fractional maximal operator and Riesz potentials was studied. We study the boundedness of Calderon-Zygmund operators from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . We obtain sufficient conditions on weight functions  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  ensuring the boundedness of Calderon-Zygmund operators  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  for all admissible values of the parameters  $p, \theta_1, \theta_2$ .

Moreover, for a certain range of the parameters  $p, \theta_1, \theta_2$  we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions on weight functions  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  for a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator  $T$  to be bounded from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . In particular, in Theorem 8 we prove that if  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then the condition

$$\left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{n/p} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \leq c_7 \|w_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(t, \infty)} \quad (6)$$

for all  $t > 0$ , where  $c_7 > 0$  is independent of  $t$ , is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

We note that condition (6) is also necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of the maximal operator from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  for a wider range of the parameter  $\theta_1 : \theta_1 \leq p$  [3,5].

In Theorem 9 it is proved that, under additional assumption of regularity on  $w_2$ , condition (6) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  also for  $\theta_1 \leq p$ .

We also consider separately the case in which  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  is replaced<sup>2</sup> by  $L_p$ .

Most of the results of this paper were formulated without proofs in [8].

## 2 Definitions and basic properties of Morrey-type spaces

**Definition 1.** Let  $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$  and let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$ . We denote by  $LM_{p\theta, w}$  and  $GM_{p\theta, w}$  the local Morrey-type spaces, the global Morrey-type spaces respectively, the spaces of all functions  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with finite quasinorms

$$\|f\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}} \equiv \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|w(r)\|f\|_{L_p(B(0, r))}\|_{L_\theta(0, \infty)},$$

$$\|f\|_{GM_{p\theta, w}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x + \cdot)\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}}$$

respectively.

Note that

$$\|f\|_{LM_{p\infty, 1}} = \|f\|_{GM_{p\infty, 1}} = \|f\|_{L_p}.$$

Furthermore,  $GM_{p\infty, r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}} \equiv \mathcal{M}_{p, \lambda}$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$  and  $GM_{p\infty, w} \equiv \mathcal{M}_{p, w}$ .

In [2, 3] the following statement was proved.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$  and let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$ .

1. If for all  $t > 0$

$$\|w(r)\|_{L_\theta(t, \infty)} = \infty, \quad (7)$$

then  $LM_{p\theta, w} = GM_{p\theta, w} = \Theta$ .

2. If for all  $t > 0$

$$\|w(r)r^{n/p}\|_{L_\theta(0, t)} = \infty, \quad (8)$$

then for  $0 < p < \infty$   $GM_{p\theta, w} = \Theta$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$ . We denote by  $\Omega_\theta$  the set of all functions  $w$  which are non-negative, measurable on  $(0, \infty)$ , not equivalent to 0 and such that for some  $t > 0$

$$\|w(r)\|_{L_\theta(t, \infty)} < \infty. \quad (9)$$

<sup>2</sup>Here and in the sequel we write just  $L_p$  for  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ . If  $G \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then we preserve the full notation  $L_p(G)$ . The same refers to the case of weighted Lebesgue spaces  $L_{p, w}$ .

Moreover, we denote by  $\Omega_{p\theta}$  the set of all functions  $w$  which are non-negative, measurable on  $(0, \infty)$ , not equivalent to 0 and such that for some  $t_1, t_2 > 0$

$$\|w(r)\|_{L_\theta(t_1, \infty)} < \infty, \quad \|w(r)r^{\frac{n}{p}}\|_{L_\theta(0, t_2)} < \infty. \quad (10)$$

In the sequel, keeping in mind Lemma 1, we always assume that, for the spaces  $LM_{p\theta, w}$ ,  $w \in \Omega_\theta$  and, for the spaces  $GM_{p\theta, w}$ ,  $w \in \Omega_{p\theta}$ .

Let  $w \in \Omega_\theta$  and  $f \in LM_{p\theta, w}$ , then  $f \in L_p(B(0, r))$  for all  $r > 0$ . If  $f \in L_p$ , then  $\|w(r)\|_{L_p(B(0, r))}\|f\|_{L_\theta(t, \infty)} < \infty$  for any  $t > 0$ , and the fact that  $f \in LM_{p\theta, w}$  completely depends on the behaviour of  $f(x)$  for small  $|x|$ . However, if  $f \notin L_p$ , then the fact that  $f \in LM_{p\theta, w}$  depends both on the behaviour of  $f(x)$  for small and large  $|x|$ .

### 3 Corollaries of weighted $L_{p, w}$ -estimates

For a measurable set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a function  $v$  non-negative and measurable on  $G$ , let  $L_{p, v}(G)$  be the weighted  $L_p$ -space of all functions  $f$  measurable on  $G$  for which <sup>3</sup>

$$\|f\|_{L_{p, v}(G)} = \|vf\|_{L_p(G)} < \infty.$$

If  $0 < p \leq \theta \leq \infty$ , then

$$\|f\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p, W}}, \quad (11)$$

and if  $0 < \theta \leq p \leq \infty$ , then

$$\|f\|_{L_{p, W}} \leq \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}}, \quad (12)$$

where for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$W(x) = \|w\|_{L_\theta(|x|, \infty)}.$$

These inequalities are particular cases of general inequalities of such type for the Lebesgue spaces with mixed quasinorms (see, for example, [19, section 3.37]). In particular, for  $0 < p \leq \infty$

$$\|f\|_{LM_{pp, w}} = \|f\|_{L_{p, V}},$$

where for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$V(x) = \|w\|_{L_p(|x|, \infty)}.$$

Hence the results for  $LM_{p\theta, w}$  follow from the known results for weighted  $L_{p, V}$ -spaces.

We start by quoting Theorem 3.4.2 in [10] stating necessary and sufficient conditions on  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  ensuring the validity of the inequality

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{p, v_2}} \leq c_8 \|f\|_{L_{p, v_1}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are functions non-negative and measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $c_8 > 0$  is independent of  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>See footnote in Section 1.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $v_1, v_2$  be functions non-negative and measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, let for some  $c_9 > 0$*

$$\sup_{\frac{1}{4}|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} v_2(y) \leq c_9 v_1(x) \quad \text{for a. e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (14)$$

or for some  $c_{10} > 0$

$$v_2(x) \sup_{\frac{1}{4}|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} \frac{1}{v_1(y)} \leq c_{10} \quad \text{for a. e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (15)$$

Then inequality (13) holds if, and for the Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}$  only if, the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \sup_{r>0} \|v_2\|_{L_p(B(0,r))} \| |y|^{-n} v_1(y)^{-1} \|_{L_{p'}(\complement B(0,2r))} < \infty \quad (16)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \sup_{r>0} \|v_1^{-1}\|_{L_{p'}(B(0,r))} \| |y|^{-n} v_2(y) \|_{L_p(\complement B(0,2r))} < \infty, \quad (17)$$

where  $\complement B(0,t)$  is the complement of  $B(0,t)$ .

Moreover, the sharp constant  $c_8^*$  in (13) satisfies the inequality

$$c_8^* \leq c_{11}(\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2),$$

and for the Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}$  also

$$c_{12}(\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2) \leq c_8^*,$$

where  $c_{11}, c_{12} > 0$  are independent of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

The application of Theorem 3 immediately implies the following result for the case of local Morrey-type spaces.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ . Moreover, let for some  $c_{13} > 0$*

$$\sup_{\frac{1}{4}|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} W_2(y) \leq c_{13} W_1(x) \quad \text{for a. e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (18)$$

or for some  $c_{14} > 0$

$$W_2(x) \sup_{\frac{1}{4}|x| \leq |y| \leq 4|x|} \frac{1}{W_1(y)} \leq c_{13} \quad \text{for a. e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (19)$$

where for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$W_1(x) = \|w_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(|x|, \infty)}, \quad W_2(x) = \|w_2\|_{L_{\theta_2}(|x|, \infty)}.$$

If  $\theta_1 \leq p \leq \theta_2$ ,

$$\sup_{r>0} \|W_2\|_{L_p(B(0,r))} \| |y|^{-n} W_1(y)^{-1} \|_{L_{p'}(\complement B(0,2r))} < \infty \quad (20)$$

and

$$\sup_{r>0} \|W_1^{-1}\|_{L_{p'}(B(0,r))} \| |y|^{-n} W_2(y) \|_{L_p(\mathfrak{c}_B(0,2r))} < \infty, \quad (21)$$

then the operator  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\theta_1}$ ,  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)

If  $\theta_2 \leq p \leq \theta_1$ , then conditions (20) and (21) are necessary for the boundedness of the Hilbert transform  $\mathcal{H}$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

In particular, if  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = p$ , then conditions (20) and (21) are necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $\mathcal{H}$  from  $LM_{pp, w_1}$  to  $LM_{pp, w_2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\theta_1 \leq p \leq \theta_2$ . By applying (11), the sufficiency of (20) and (21) for the boundedness of  $T$  and (12) we get

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \leq \|Tf\|_{L_{p, W_2}} \leq c_{15} \|f\|_{L_{p, W_1}} \leq c_{15} \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}}, \quad (22)$$

where  $c_{15} > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

Conversely if  $\theta_2 \leq p \leq \theta_1$  and

$$\|\mathcal{H}f\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \leq c_{16} \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}},$$

where  $c_{16} > 0$  is independent of  $f$ , then by (11) and (12)

$$\|\mathcal{H}f\|_{L_{p, W_2}} \leq c_{16} \|f\|_{L_{p, W_1}} \quad (23)$$

and one may apply the necessity of (20) and (21) for the validity of (23).

Also (22) implies that

$$\|Tf\|_{GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \leq c_{15} \|f\|_{GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}}.$$

□

## 4 Singular integrals and Hardy operator

In order to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions on  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  ensuring the boundedness of  $T$  for other values of the parameters we shall reduce the problem of the boundedness of  $T$  in the local Morrey-type spaces to the boundedness of the Hardy operator in weighted  $L_p$ -spaces on the cone of non-negative non-increasing functions.

We start with quoting the following result proved in [11] (see also [14]).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then there exists  $c_{17} > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(B(0,r))} \leq c_{17} r^{\frac{n}{p}} \int_{\gamma r}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{n}{p}-1} \|f\|_{L_p(B(0,t))} dt \quad (24)$$

for all  $r > 0$  and for all  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p}$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $c_{18} > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(B(0,r))} \leq c_{18} r^{n/p-\delta} \left( \int_{\gamma r}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B(0,t)} |f(x)|^p dx \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n-\delta p+1}} \right)^{1/p} \quad (25)$$

for all  $r > 0$  and for all  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to apply Hölder's inequality to the integral in the right-hand side of (24).  $\square$

Let  $H$  be the Hardy operator

$$(Hg)(r) = \int_0^r g(t) dt, \quad 0 < r < \infty.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \leq \infty$  and  $w \in \Omega_\theta$ . Then there exists  $c_{19} > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta,w}} \leq c_{19} \|H\hat{g}\|_{L_{\theta,\hat{v}}(0,\infty)} \quad (26)$$

for all  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where

$$\hat{g}(t) = \|f\|_{L_p(B(0,t^{-\frac{p}{n}}))} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\hat{v}(r) = w \left( r^{-\frac{p}{n}} \right) r^{-\frac{p}{n} \left( \frac{n+1}{p} + \frac{1}{\theta} \right)}. \quad (28)$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta,w}} &= \left\| w(r) \|Tf\|_{L_p(B(0,r))} \right\|_{L_\theta(0,\infty)} \\ &\leq c_{17} \left\| w(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}} \int_r^\infty t^{-\frac{n}{p}-1} \|f\|_{L_p(B(0,t))} dt \right\|_{L_\theta(0,\infty)} \\ &= \frac{c_{17} p}{n} \left\| w(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}} \int_0^{r^{-\frac{n}{p}}} \|f\|_{L_p(B(0,t^{-\frac{p}{n}}))} dt \right\|_{L_\theta(0,\infty)} \\ &= c_{20} \left\| w \left( r^{-\frac{p}{n}} \right) r^{-1 - \left( \frac{p}{n} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{\theta}} \int_0^r \|f\|_{L_p(B(0,t^{-\frac{p}{n}}))} dt \right\|_{L_\theta(0,\infty)} \\ &= c_{20} \|H\hat{g}\|_{L_{\theta,\hat{v}}(0,\infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{20} > 0$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $p$  and  $\theta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $0 < \theta \leq \infty$  and  $w \in \Omega_\theta$ . Then there exists  $c_{21} > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta,w}} \leq c_{21} \|Hg\delta\|_{L_{\frac{\theta}{p},v_\delta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0,\infty)}$$

for all  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where

$$g_\delta(t) = \int_{B(0, t^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n}})} |f(y)|^p dy \quad (29)$$

and

$$v_\delta(r) = \left[ w \left( r^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n}} \right) r^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n} \left( \frac{n}{p} - \delta + \frac{1}{\theta} \right) - \frac{1}{\theta}} \right]^p. \quad (30)$$

**Proof.** By Corollary 1

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}} &= \|w(r)\|_{L_p(B(0, r))} \|Tf\|_{L_\theta(0, \infty)} \\ &\leq c_{18} \left\| w(r) r^{\frac{n}{p} - \delta} \left( \int_r^\infty \left( \int_{B(0, t)} |f(x)|^p dx \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n-\delta p+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L_\theta(0, \infty)} \\ &= c_{18} (n - \delta p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| w(r) r^{\frac{n}{p} - \delta} \left( \int_0^{r^{\delta p-n}} \left( \int_{B(0, \tau^{\delta p-n})} |f(x)|^p dx \right) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L_\theta(0, \infty)} \\ &= c_{18} (n - \delta p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( w(r) r^{\frac{n}{p} - \delta} \right)^\theta \left( \int_0^{r^{\delta p-n}} g(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &= c_{18} (n - \delta p)^{-\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta}} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( w \left( \rho^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n}} \right) \rho^{\frac{n}{p(\delta p-n)}} \right)^\theta \rho^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n} - 1} (Hg(\rho))^{\frac{\theta}{p}} d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &= c_{21} \|Hg\|_{L_{\frac{\theta}{p}, v_\delta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0, \infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{21} > 0$  depends only on  $n, p, \theta$  and  $\delta$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Recall that for the maximal operator  $M$ , as proved in [5], for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \leq \infty$  and  $w \in \Omega_\theta$ , there exists  $c_{22} > 0$  such that

$$\|Mf\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}} \leq c_{22} \|Hg_0\|_{L_{\frac{\theta}{p}, v_0}^{\frac{1}{p}}(0, \infty)}$$

for all  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ . Moreover, let

$$v_{1, \delta}(r) = \left[ w_1 \left( r^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n}} \right) r^{\frac{1}{(\delta p-n)\theta_1} - \frac{1}{\theta_1}} \right]^p, \quad (31)$$

$$v_{2, \delta}(r) = \left[ w_2 \left( r^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n}} \right) r^{\frac{1}{\delta p-n} \left( \frac{n}{p} - \delta + \frac{1}{\theta_2} \right) - \frac{1}{\theta_2}} \right]^p \quad (32)$$

and

$$\hat{v}_1(r) = w_1 \left( r^{-\frac{p}{n}} \right) r^{-\frac{p}{n\theta_1} - \frac{1}{\theta_1}}, \quad (33)$$

$$\hat{v}_2(r) = w_2 \left( r^{-\frac{p}{n}} \right) r^{-\frac{p}{n} \left( \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{\theta_2} \right) - \frac{1}{\theta_2}}. \quad (34)$$

Assume that the operator  $H$  is bounded from  $L_{\frac{\theta_1}{p}, v_{1,\delta}}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\frac{\theta_2}{p}, v_{2,\delta}}(0, \infty)$  or from  $L_{\theta_1, \hat{v}_1}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\theta_2, \hat{v}_2}(0, \infty)$  on the cone of all non-negative functions  $\varphi$  non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = 0$ .

Then  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\theta_1}$ ,  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be bounded from  $L_{\frac{\theta_1}{p}, v_{1,\delta}}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\frac{\theta_2}{p}, v_{2,\delta}}(0, \infty)$ . Then by Lemma 4 applied to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \leq c_{23} \|Hg_\delta\|_{L_{\frac{\theta_2}{p}, v_{2,\delta}}(0, \infty)}^{1/p},$$

where  $c_{23} > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

Since  $g$  is non-negative, non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_\delta(t) = 0$  and  $H$  is bounded from  $L_{\frac{\theta_1}{p}, v_{1,\delta}}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\frac{\theta_2}{p}, v_{2,\delta}}(0, \infty)$  on the cone of functions containing  $g_\delta$ , we have

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \leq c_{24} \|g_\delta\|_{L_{\frac{\theta_1}{p}, v_{1,\delta}}(0, \infty)}^{1/p},$$

where  $c_{24} > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} &\leq c_{24} \left( \int_0^\infty v_{1,\delta}(t)^{\frac{\theta_1}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(B(0, t^{\frac{1}{\delta p - n}}))}^{\theta_1} dt \right)^{1/\theta_1} \\ &= c_{24} (n - \delta p)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} \left( \int_0^\infty v_{1,\delta}(r^{\delta p - n})^{\frac{\theta_1}{p}} r^{\delta p - n - 1} \|f\|_{L_p(B(0, r))}^{\theta_1} dr \right)^{1/\theta_1} \\ &= c_{25} \left( \int_0^\infty (w_1(r) \|f\|_{L_p(B(0, r))})^{\theta_1} dr \right)^{1/\theta_1} \\ &= c_{25} \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}}, \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{25} > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

If  $H$  is bounded from  $L_{\theta_1, \hat{v}_1}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\theta_2, \hat{v}_2}(0, \infty)$  then the argument is similar. (Lemma 4 should be replaced by Lemma 3.)  $\square$

## 5 Sufficient conditions

In order to obtain explicit sufficient conditions on the weight functions ensuring the boundedness of  $T$ , first we shall apply the following well-known simple sufficient conditions ensuring the boundedness of the Hardy operator  $H$  from one weighted Lebesgue space to another one (see, for example, [3]).

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$  and let  $v_1, v_2$  be functions positive and measurable on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then the condition*

$$\left\| v_2(r) \left\| t^{-\frac{(1-\theta_1)_+}{\theta_1}} v_1^{-1}(t) \right\|_{L_{\frac{\theta_1}{(\theta_1-1)_+}}(0,r)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} < \infty \quad (36)$$

*is sufficient for the boundedness of  $H$  from  $L_{\theta_1, v_1}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\theta_2, v_2}(0, \infty)$  in the case  $1 \leq \theta_1 \leq \infty$  and for the boundedness of  $H$  from  $L_{\theta_1, v_1}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\theta_2, v_2}(0, \infty)$  on the cone of all non-negative non-increasing functions on  $(0, \infty)$  in the case  $0 < \theta_1 < 1$ .*

*If  $\theta_1 = \infty$ , then condition (36) is also necessary for the boundedness of  $H$  from  $L_{\infty, v_1}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\theta_2, v_2}(0, \infty)$ .*

The statements of Lemma 5 follow by applying Hölder's inequality if  $1 \leq \theta_1 \leq \infty$  and the inequality

$$\left( \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt \right)^{\theta_1} \leq \theta_1 \int_a^b (t-a)^{\theta_1-1} \varphi(t)^{\theta_1} dt$$

for all  $-\infty < a < b \leq \infty$  and for all functions  $\varphi$  non-negative and non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  if  $0 < \theta_1 < 1$ . (See, for example, [1].)

Theorem 5 and Lemma 5 imply the following sufficient conditions for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ . If, for some  $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p}$ ,*

$$\left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}-\delta} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t) t^{\delta-\frac{n}{p}-\frac{1}{\min\{p, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_s(r,\infty)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} < \infty, \quad (37)$$

*where  $s = \frac{p\theta_1}{(\theta_1-p)_+}$  (if  $\theta_1 \leq p$ , then  $s = \infty$ ) or if*

$$\left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t) t^{-\frac{n}{p}-\frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_\sigma(r,\infty)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} < \infty, \quad (38)$$

*where  $\sigma = \frac{\theta_1}{(\theta_1-1)_+}$  (if  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then  $\sigma = \infty$ ), then  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\theta_1}$ ,  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)*

**Remark 2.** *Recall that, as proved in [5], if condition (37) is satisfied and, moreover, if it is satisfied with  $\delta = 0$ , then the maximal operator  $M$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .*

Under some assumptions on the regularity of the weight  $w_1$  conditions (37) and (38) can be weakened. To prove this we shall need the following lemmas proved in [7].

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\varphi$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $c_{26} > 0$  and

$$\int_r^\infty \varphi(t) dt \leq c_{26} r \varphi(r) \quad (39)$$

for all  $r > 0$ . Then for all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{c_{26}})$

$$r^{-\delta} \int_r^\infty \varphi(t) t^\delta dt \leq (1 - \delta c_{26})^{-1} \int_r^\infty \varphi(t) dt \quad (40)$$

for all  $r > 0$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  and  $c_{27} > 0$ . Moreover, let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be non-negative measurable functions on  $(0, \infty)$  and

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_p(r, \infty)} \leq c_{27} r^{\frac{1}{p}} \varphi(r) \quad (41)$$

for all  $r > 0$ . Then for all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{pc_{27}})$

$$\|\psi(r) r^{-\delta} \|\varphi(t) t^\delta\|_{L_p(r, \infty)} \|_{L_q(0, \infty)} \leq (1 - \delta pc_{27})^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|\psi(r) \|\varphi(t)\|_{L_p(r, \infty)} \|_{L_q(0, \infty)}. \quad (42)$$

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\varphi$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $c_{28} > 0$  and

$$\int_0^r \varphi(t) dt \leq c_{28} r \varphi(r) \quad (43)$$

for all  $r > 0$ . Then for all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{c_{28}})$

$$r^\delta \int_0^r \varphi(t) t^{-\delta} dt \leq (1 - \delta c_{28})^{-1} \int_0^r \varphi(t) dt \quad (44)$$

for all  $r > 0$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ . If, for some  $c_{29} > 0$ , for all  $r > 0$

$$\|w_1^{-1}(t) t^{-\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{p, \theta_1\}}}\|_{L_s(r, \infty)} \leq c_{29} w_1^{-1}(r) r^{-\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{p, \theta_1\}} + \frac{1}{s}} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t) t^{-\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{p, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_s(r, \infty)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} < \infty, \quad (46)$$

or if, for some  $c_{30} > 0$ , for all  $r > 0$

$$\|w_1^{-1}(t) t^{-\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}}\|_{L_\sigma(r, \infty)} \leq c_{30} w_1^{-1}(r) r^{-\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}} + \frac{1}{\sigma}} \quad (47)$$

and for all  $\delta > 0$

$$\left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p} + \delta} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t) t^{-\delta - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_\sigma(r, \infty)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} < \infty, \quad (48)$$

then  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\theta_1}$ ,  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)

**Proof.** 1. Note that regularity condition (45) coincides with condition (41) of Corollary 2, where  $p = s$  and  $\varphi(t) = w_1^{-p}(t)t^{-n - \frac{p}{\min\{p, \theta_1\}}}$ . By Corollary 2 condition (44) is satisfied for some  $\delta > 0$  with  $q = \theta_2$  and  $\psi(t) = w_2^{-p}(r)r^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ , which implies condition (37). Therefore Theorem 6 implies that  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

2. Let condition (48) be satisfied. Then, in particular, it is satisfied for  $0 < \delta < (\sigma c_{30})^{-1}$ . Next condition (47) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\delta - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_\sigma(r, \infty)} \\ & \leq r^{-\delta} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_\sigma(r, \infty)} \\ & \leq c_{30} w_1^{-1}(r)r^{-\delta - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}} + \frac{1}{\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence condition (41) is satisfied for  $p = \sigma$ ,  $\varphi(t) = w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\delta - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}}$  and  $c_{27} = c_{30}$ . Therefore Corollary 2 with  $\psi(t) = w_2(t)t^{\frac{n}{p} + \delta}$ ,  $q = \theta_2$  implies condition (38) because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| w_2(r)r^{\frac{n}{p}} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_\sigma(r, \infty)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \\ & \leq (1 - \delta\sigma c_{30})^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \left\| w_2(r)r^{\frac{n}{p} + \delta} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\delta - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta_1\}}} \right\|_{L_\sigma(r, \infty)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Theorem 6 implies that  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_\infty$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ . If, for some  $c_{31} > 0$ , for all  $r > 0$*

$$\left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\frac{n}{p} - 1} \right\|_{L_1(r, \infty)} \leq c_{31} w_1^{-1}(r)r^{-\frac{n}{p}} \quad (49)$$

and for all  $\delta > 0$

$$\left\| w_2(r)r^{\delta + \frac{n}{p}} \left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\delta - \frac{n+1}{p}} \right\|_{L_p(r, \infty)} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} < \infty, \quad (50)$$

then  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\infty}$ ,  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)

**Proof.** Note that condition (50) and Hölder's inequality imply condition (48) for all  $\delta > 0$  in the case  $\theta_1 = \infty$  and therefore Corollary 2 implies Corollary 3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in \Omega_\infty$ . If, for some  $c_{32}, c_{33} > 0$ , for all  $r > 0$*

$$\left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\frac{n}{p} - 1} \right\|_{L_1(r, \infty)} \leq c_{32} w_1^{-1}(r)r^{-\frac{n}{p}} \quad (51)$$

and for some  $\delta > 0$

$$\left\| w_1^{-1}(t)t^{-\delta - \frac{n+1}{p}} \right\|_{L_p(r, \infty)} \leq c_{33} w_2^{-1}(r)r^{-\delta - \frac{n}{p}}, \quad (52)$$

then  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\infty, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\infty, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\infty, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\infty}$ .)

**Remark 3.** We note that condition (52) is weaker than condition (4) in Theorem 2. However in Theorem 2 there is no additional regularity assumptions on  $w_1$ . If  $w_1 = w_2$  then the statements of Theorem 2 and Corollary 4 coincide, because in this case by Lemma 6 condition (51), coinciding in this case with condition (4), implies condition (52).

**Remark 4.** When proving the results of this section we applied inequalities (24) and (25) with  $\gamma = 1$ . One may trace that inequalities (24) and (25) in fact imply the statements of this section in a slightly stronger version. Namely the norms in  $L_q(r, \infty)$  with  $q$  equal to  $s, \sigma, 1$  or  $p$  of the expressions containing  $w_1^{-1}(t)$  in formulas (45)–(52) can be replaced by the norms of the same expressions but in  $L_q(\gamma r, \infty)$  with arbitrary  $\gamma \geq 1$ .

## 6 Necessary and sufficient conditions

For the majority of cases the necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of the inequality

$$\|H\varphi\|_{L_{\theta_2, v_2}(0, \infty)} \leq c_{34} \|\varphi\|_{L_{\theta_1, v_1}(0, \infty)}, \quad (53)$$

where  $c_{34} > 0$  is independent of  $\varphi$ , for all non-negative non-increasing functions  $\varphi$  are known; for detailed information see [23], [24]. Application of Theorem 5 and of any of those conditions gives sufficient conditions for the boundedness of Calderon-Zygmund operators from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

However, there is no guarantee that the application of the necessary and sufficient conditions on  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  ensuring the validity of (53) will imply the necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

Fortunately for certain values of the parameters this is the case, namely for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \infty, \theta_1 \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $1 < p < \infty, 0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty, w_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ .

1. If  $T$  is a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator, then the condition

$$\left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{n/p} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \leq c_{35} \|w_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(t, \infty)}, \quad (54)$$

where  $c_{35} > 0$  is independent of  $t > 0$ , is necessary for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

2. If  $T$  is a Calderon-Zygmund operator,  $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$  and  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then condition (54) is sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)

3. In particular, if  $T$  is a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator,  $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$  and  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then condition (54) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .

**Proof.** 1. Let  $T$  be an operator (1) where  $K(x, y)$  is a continuous function away from the diagonal which satisfies condition (5). Assume that, for some  $c_{36} > 0$  and for all  $f \in LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \leq c_{36} \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}}.$$

Consider ‘piece-of-cake’ test functions, i. e. take here

$$f(x) = \chi_{(B(0,2t) \setminus B(0,t)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where sufficiently small  $\beta > 0$  will be chosen later.

Then as in [5] for some  $c_{37} > 0$

$$\|f\|_{LM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}} \leq c_{37} t^{\frac{n}{p}} \|\omega_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(t, \infty)}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}} &= \left\| \omega_2(r) \left\| \int_{(B(0,2t) \setminus B(0,t)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)} K(x, y) dy \right\|_{L_p(B(0,r))} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \geq \\ &\geq \left\| \omega_2(r) \left\| \int_{(B(0,2t) \setminus B(0,t)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)} K(x, y) dy \right\|_{L_p(B(0,\rho) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C))} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \min\{r, t\}$ . Assume that  $\beta > 0$  is such that for all  $t > 0$  and for all  $x \in B(0, t/2) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)$  we have

$$B(0, t/2) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C) \subset x + \mathcal{R}(C) = C_x.$$

Then by condition (5) for all  $x \in B(0, \rho) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)$  and for all  $y \in (B(0, 2t) \setminus B(0, t)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)$  we have  $K(x, y) \geq \frac{c_4}{|x-y|^n} \geq \frac{2^n c_4}{t^n}$ , hence

$$\left| \int_{(B(0,2t) \setminus B(0,t)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)} K(x, y) dy \right| \geq \frac{2^n c_4}{t^n} |(B(0, 2t) \setminus B(0, t)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)| \geq c_{38},$$

where  $c_{38} > 0$  is independent of  $t$ .

Therefore

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}} \geq c_{38} \left\| \omega_2(r) |B(0, \rho) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \geq c_{39} \left\| \omega_2(r) \min\{r, t\}^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)}.$$

Thus

$$\left\| \omega_2(r) \min\{r, t\}^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \leq \frac{c_{37} t^{\frac{n}{p}}}{c_{39}} \|\omega_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(t, \infty)}.$$

Since

$$\min\left\{\frac{r}{t}, 1\right\} \geq \frac{r}{t+r}, \quad 0 < t, r < \infty,$$

this implies condition (54).

2. It is known [24] that the necessary and sufficient condition for the boundedness of the operator  $H$  from  $L_{\theta_1, \hat{v}_1}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\theta_2, \hat{v}_2}(0, \infty)$  on the cone of all non-negative non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  functions  $\varphi$ , where  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , has the form: for some  $c_{40} > 0$ , for all  $t > 0$

$$\|\hat{v}_2(r) \min\{t, r\}\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \leq c_{40} \|\hat{v}_1(r)\|_{L_{\theta_1}(0, t)}, \quad (55)$$

where  $\hat{v}_1$  and  $\hat{v}_2$  are defined by (33) and (34). This condition is equivalent to condition (54). Indeed, replacing  $r^{-\frac{n}{p}}$  by  $\rho$  and  $t^{-\frac{n}{p}}$  by  $\tau$ , we get an equivalent form of (55) for some  $c_{41} > 0$  for all  $t > 0$

$$\left\| w_2(\rho) \rho^{n/p} \min\{\tau^{-\frac{n}{p}}, \rho^{-\frac{n}{p}}\} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \leq c_{41} \|w_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(\tau, \infty)}.$$

In its turn this condition is equivalent to (54) since there exist  $c_{42}, c_{43} > 0$  such that

$$c_{42} \left( \frac{r}{r + \tau} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \leq r^{n/p} \min\{\tau^{-\frac{n}{p}}, r^{-\frac{n}{p}}\} \leq c_{43} \left( \frac{r}{r + \tau} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}}$$

for all  $r, \tau > 0$ . Hence by Theorem 5 the second statement of the theorem follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $\theta_1 \leq p$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ .*

1. *If  $T$  is a Calderon-Zygmund operator, then the condition: for some  $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $c_{44} > 0$ , for all  $t > 0$*

$$\left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t + r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p} - \delta} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \leq c_{44} \|w_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(t, \infty)} \quad (56)$$

*is sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)*

2. *If  $T$  is a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator and for some  $c_{45} > 0$  for all  $t > 0$*

$$\left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, t)} \leq c_{45} w_2(t) t^{\frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{\theta_2}}, \quad (57)$$

*then condition (54) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1, w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$ .*

**Proof.** 1. Since  $\frac{\theta_1}{p} \leq \frac{\theta_2}{p}$  and  $\frac{\theta_1}{p} \leq 1$ , the operator  $H$  is bounded from  $L_{\frac{\theta_1}{p}, v_{1, \delta}}(0, \infty)$  to  $L_{\frac{\theta_2}{p}, v_{2, \delta}}(0, \infty)$  on the cone of non-negative non-increasing functions if and only if

$$\|v_{2, \delta}(r) \min\{t, r\}\|_{L_{\frac{\theta_2}{p}}(0, \infty)} \leq c_{40} \|v_{1, \delta}(r)\|_{L_{\frac{\theta_1}{p}}(0, t)}. \quad (58)$$

An argument similar to the one used in the proof of statement 2 of Theorem 8 shows that this condition is equivalent to condition (56).

2. Assume that conditions (54) and (57) are satisfied. By (57) and Lemma 7 there exist  $0 < \delta < \frac{n}{p}$  and  $c_{46} > 0$  such that for all  $t > 0$

$$t^\delta \left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p} - \delta} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, t)} \leq c_{46} \left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, t)} \leq c_{46} (2t)^{\frac{n}{p}} \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t + r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, t)}. \quad (59)$$

Hence by (54) and (59)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}-\delta} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \\
& \leq 2^{(\frac{1}{\theta_1}-1)_+} \left( \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}-\delta} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,t)} + \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}-\delta} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(t,\infty)} \right) \\
& \leq 2^{(\frac{1}{\theta_1}-1)_+} \left( t^{-\frac{n}{p}+\delta} \left\| w_2(r) r^{\frac{n}{p}-\delta} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,t)} + 2^\delta \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(t,\infty)} \right) \\
& \leq c_{47} \left( \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,t)} + \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(t,\infty)} \right) \\
& \leq 2c_{47}c_{35} \|w_1\|_{L_{\theta_1}(t,\infty)},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_+$  denotes the positive part of  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c_{47} > 0$  is independent of  $t$ , which implies condition (56). Therefore by the first statement of the theorem  $T$  is bounded from  $LM_{p\theta_1,w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ . Thus condition (54), under the assumption (57), is sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$ . It is also necessary by the first statement of Theorem 8.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $T$  be a Calderon-Zygmund operator,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ . Then the condition*

$$w_2 \in L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty) \tag{60}$$

*is sufficient, and for a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator is also necessary, for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $L_p$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$  and from  $L_p$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)*

**Proof.** First consider the case of spaces  $LM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ .

By the first statement of Theorem 9 with  $w_1 \equiv 1$  and  $\theta_1 = \infty$  the condition

$$I = \sup_{t>0} \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} < \infty$$

is necessary for the boundedness  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1,w_1}$  to  $LM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ . Hence it suffices to notice that by the Monotone Convergence Theorem

$$\|w_2\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| w_2(r) \left( \frac{r}{t+r} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} = I < \infty.$$

The sufficiency of (60) follows by the boundedness of  $T$  from  $L_p$  to  $L_p$ :

$$\|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2,w_2}} = \left\| w_2(r) \|Tf\|_{L_p(B(0,r))} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \leq c_{48} \|w_2\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \|f\|_{L_p},$$

where  $c_{48} > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

In the case of the spaces  $GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}$  the necessity of condition (60) follows since  $\|Tf\|_{GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \geq \|Tf\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}}$ , and the sufficiency of this condition follows since

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{GM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|(Tf)(x + \cdot)\|_{LM_{p\theta_2, w_2}} \\ &\leq c_{48} \|w_2\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x + \cdot)\|_{L_p} \\ &= c_{48} \|w_2\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0, \infty)} \|f\|_{L_p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 7 The case of weak Morrey-type spaces

Next we consider the local and global weak Morrey-type spaces and study the boundedness of Calderon-Zygmund operators in these spaces.

**Definition 3.** Let  $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$  and let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$ . Denote by  $LWM_{p\theta, w}$  and  $GWM_{p\theta, w}$ , the local weak Morrey-type spaces, the global weak Morrey-type spaces respectively, the spaces of all functions  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with finite quasinorms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{LWM_{p\theta, w}} &\equiv \|f\|_{LWM_{p\theta, w}} = \left\| w(r) \|f\|_{WL_p(B(0, r))} \right\|_{L_{\theta}(0, \infty)}, \\ \|f\|_{GWM_{p\theta, w}} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x + \cdot)\|_{LWM_{p\theta, w}}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where

$$\|f\|_{WL_p(B(0, r))} = \sup_{t > 0} t (\text{meas } \{x \in B(0, r) : |f(x)| > t\})^{1/p},$$

if  $p < \infty$  and  $\|f\|_{WL_{\infty}(B(0, r))} = \|f\|_{L_{\infty}(B(0, r))}$ .

The spaces  $LWM_{p\theta, w}$ ,  $GWM_{p\theta, w}$  are aimed at describing the behaviour of  $\|f\|_{WL_p(B(0, r))}$ ,  $\|f\|_{WL_p(B(x, r))}$  respectively, for small  $r > 0$ .

Note that for any  $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$

$$\|f\|_{LWM_{p\theta, w}} \leq \|f\|_{LM_{p\theta, w}}, \quad \|f\|_{GWM_{p\theta, w}} \leq \|f\|_{GM_{p\theta, w}}$$

for all functions  $f \in LM_{p\theta, w}$ ,  $f \in GM_{p\theta, w}$  respectively.

We shall use the following theorem stating necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of the following inequality

$$\|Tf\|_{WL_{p, v_2}} \leq c_{49} \|f\|_{L_{p, v_1}}, \quad (61)$$

where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are functions non-negative and measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $c_{49} > 0$  is independent of  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [10] and [21]).

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then inequality (61) holds if, and only if, inequality (16) holds. Moreover, the sharp (minimal possible) constant  $c_{49}^*$  in (61), satisfies the inequality*

$$c_{50}\mathcal{J}_1 \leq c_{49}^* \leq c_{51}\mathcal{J}_1,$$

where  $c_{50}, c_{51} > 0$  are independent of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

**Lemma 8.** [11], [14] *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then there exists  $c_{52} > 0$  such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{WL_p(B(0,r))} \leq c_{52}r^{\frac{n}{p}} \int_{\gamma r}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{n}{p}-1} \|f\|_{L_p(B(0,t))} dt \quad (62)$$

for all  $r > 0$  and for all  $f \in WL_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Consequently, Corollary 1 holds if  $L_p(B(0,r))$  is replaced by  $WL_p(B(0,r))$  and the condition  $1 < p < \infty$  is replaced by  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and Lemmas 3 – 4 and Theorem 5 hold if  $LM_{p\theta,w}$  and  $GM_{p\theta,w}$  are replaced by  $LWM_{p\theta,w}$ ,  $GWM_{p\theta,w}$  respectively, and the condition  $1 < p < \infty$  is replaced by  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $w_1 \in \Omega_{\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ .*

1. *If  $T$  is a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator, then the condition (54) is necessary for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1,w_1}$  to  $LWM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ .*

2. *If  $T$  is a Calderon-Zygmund operator,  $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$  and  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then condition (54) is sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1,w_1}$  to  $LWM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$  and from  $GM_{p\theta_1,w_1}$  to  $GWM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_1 \in \Omega_{p\theta_1}$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)*

3. *In particular, if  $T$  is a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator,  $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$  and  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then condition (54) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $LM_{p\theta_1,w_1}$  to  $LWM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ .*

**Proof.** Sufficiency follows by Theorem 5 for the weak case as in the proof of Theorem 8. The proof of necessity is also essentially the same as in the proof of Theorem 8, because (with the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 8)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{LWM_{p\theta_2,w_2}} &\geq \left\| \omega_2(r) \left\| \int_{(B(0,2t) \setminus B(0,t)) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)} K(x,y) dy \right\|_{WL_p(B(0,r) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C))} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \\ &\geq c_{38} \left\| \omega_2(r) |B(0,\rho) \cap \mathcal{R}(\beta C)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)} \geq c_{39} \left\| \omega_2(r) \min\{r,t\}^{\frac{n}{p}} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $T$  be a Calderon-Zygmund operator,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $w_2 \in \Omega_{\theta_2}$ . Then condition (60) is sufficient, and for a genuine Calderon-Zygmund operator is also necessary, for the boundedness of  $T$  from  $L_p$  to  $LWM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$  and from  $L_p$  to  $GWM_{p\theta_2,w_2}$ . (In the latter case we assume that  $w_2 \in \Omega_{p\theta_2}$ .)*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 10. □

## 8 Concluding remark

When defining the global Morrey-type spaces, it may make sense to consider a weight function  $w$  depending not only on  $r > 0$ , but also on  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and consider the space of all functions  $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\left\| \left\| w(x, r) \|f\|_{L_p(B(x, r))} \right\|_{L_\theta(0, \infty)} \right\|_{L_\infty} < \infty.$$

For the case  $\theta = \infty$  such quasinorms were considered in [18]. Moreover, it is also reasonable to replace  $L_\infty$  by  $L_\eta$ , where  $0 < \eta \leq \infty$ , thus assuming that

$$\|f\|_{GM_{p\theta\eta, w}} = \left\| \left\| w(x, r) \|f\|_{L_p(B(x, r))} \right\|_{L_\theta(0, \infty)} \right\|_{L_\eta} < \infty.$$

Let in Theorem 5 formulas (31) and (32) be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} v_{1,\delta}(x, r) &= \left[ w_1 \left( x, r^{\frac{1}{\delta p - n}} \right) r^{\frac{1}{(\delta p - n)\theta_1} - \frac{1}{\theta_1}} \right]^p, \\ v_{2,\delta}(x, r) &= \left[ w_2 \left( x, r^{\frac{1}{\delta p - n}} \right) r^{\frac{1}{\delta p - n} \left( \frac{n}{p} - \delta + \frac{1}{\theta_2} \right) - \frac{1}{\theta_2}} \right]^p \end{aligned}$$

and formulas (33) and (34) be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}_1(x, r) &= w_1 \left( x, r^{-\frac{p}{n}} \right) r^{-\frac{p}{n\theta_1} - \frac{1}{\theta_1}}, \\ \hat{v}_2(x, r) &= w_2 \left( x, r^{-\frac{p}{n}} \right) r^{-\frac{p}{n} \left( \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{\theta_2} \right) - \frac{1}{\theta_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

An argument similar to the one of the proof of Theorem 5 shows that if

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \|H\|_{C \cap L_{\frac{\theta_1}{p}, v_{1,\delta}(x, r)}(0, \infty) \rightarrow C \cap L_{\frac{\theta_2}{p}, v_{2,\delta}(x, r)}(0, \infty)} \right\|_{L_\eta} &< \infty, \\ \left\| \|H\|_{C \cap L_{\theta_1, \hat{v}_1(x, r)}(0, \infty) \rightarrow C \cap L_{\theta_2, \hat{v}_2(x, r)}(0, \infty)} \right\|_{L_\eta} &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where  $C$  is the cone of all non-negative functions  $\varphi$  non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(t) = 0$ , then  $T$  is bounded from  $GM_{p\theta_1\eta, w_1}$  to  $GM_{p\theta_2\eta, w_2}$ .

Similar remarks refer to all other inequalities of the paper involving global Morrey-type spaces or global weak Morrey-type spaces.

### References

- [1] V.I. Burenkov, *Function spaces. Main integral inequalities related to  $L_p$ -spaces*. Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Moscow, 1989 (in Russian).
- [2] V.I. Burenkov, H.V. Guliyev, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces*. Doklady Ross. Akad. Nauk. Matematika, 391, no. 5 (2003), 591 – 594 (in Russian). English transl. in Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. 67 (2003).
- [3] V.I. Burenkov, H.V. Guliyev, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces*. Studia Mathematica 163, no. 2 (2004), 157 – 176.
- [4] V.I. Burenkov, H.V. Guliyev, V.S. Guliyev, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces*. Doklady Ross. Akad. Nauk. Matematika, 409, no. 4 (2006), 443 – 447 (in Russian). English transl. in Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math., 74 (2006).
- [5] V.I. Burenkov, H.V. Guliyev, V.S. Guliyev, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces*. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 208, no. 1 (2007), 280 – 301.
- [6] V.I. Burenkov, H.V. Guliyev, V.S. Guliyev, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the Riesz potential in the local Morrey-type spaces*. Doklady Ross. Akad. Nauk. Matematika, 412, no. 5 (2007), 585 – 589 (in Russian). English transl. in Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math., 76 (2007).
- [7] V.I. Burenkov, V.S. Guliyev, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the Riesz potential in the local Morrey-type spaces*. Potential Anal., 30, no. 3 (2009), 211 – 249.
- [8] V.I. Burenkov, V.S. Guliyev, T.V. Tararykova, A. Serbetci, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the genuine singular integral operators in the local Morrey-type spaces*. Doklady Ross. Akad. Nauk. Matematika, 422, no. 1 (2008), 11 – 14 (in Russian). English transl. in Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math., 78, no 2 (2008), 651 – 654.
- [9] R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Astérisque 57. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1978.
- [10] I. Genebashvili, A. Gogatishvili, V. Kokilashvili, M. Krbec, *Weight theory for integral transforms on spaces of homogeneous type*. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 92, Longman, 1998.
- [11] V.S. Guliyev, *Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* . Doctor's degree thesis, Mat. Inst. Steklov, Moscow, 1994 (in Russian).
- [12] V.S. Guliyev, R.Ch. Mustafaev, *Integral operators of potential type in spaces of homogeneous type*. Doklady Ross. Akad. Nauk. Matematika, 354, no. 6 (1997), 730-732 (in Russian).
- [13] V.S. Guliyev, R.Ch. Mustafaev, *Fractional integrals in spaces of functions defined on spaces of homogeneous type*. Anal. Math., 24, no. 3 (1998), 181 – 200 (in Russian).
- [14] V.S. Guliyev, *Function spaces, integral operators and two weighted inequalities on homogeneous groups. Some applications*. Elm., Baku, 1999 (in Russian).
- [15] V.S. Guliyev, *Some properties of the anisotropic Riesz-Bessel potential*. Anal. Math., 26, no. 2 (2000), 99 – 118.

- [16] T. Mizuhara, *Boundedness of some classical operators on generalized Morrey spaces*. Harmonic Analysis (S. Igari, editor), ICM-90 Satellite Proceedings, Springer Verlag, Tokyo (1991), 183 – 189.
- [17] C.B. Morrey, *On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1938), 126 – 166.
- [18] E. Nakai, *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces*. Math. Nachr., 166 (1994), 95 – 103.
- [19] S.M. Nikol'skii, *Approximation of functions of several variables and embedding theorems*. Nauka, Moscow, 1969, 480 pp (in Russian). English transl. Springer Verlag, 1975.
- [20] J. Peetre, *On convolution operators leaving  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$  spaces invariant*. Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl., 72, no. 4 (1966), 295 – 304.
- [21] E. Sawyer, R. Wheeden, *Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on euclidean and homogeneous spaces*. Amer. Journal of Math., 114, no. 4 (1992), 813 – 874.
- [22] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [23] V.D. Stepanov, *Weighted Hardy inequalities for increasing functions*. Canadian Journal Math. 45 (1993), 104 – 116.
- [24] V.D. Stepanov, *The weighted Hardy's inequalities for nonincreasing functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 338 (1993), 173 – 186.

Victor Burenkov and Tamara Tararykova  
Faculty of Mathematics and Information Technology  
L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University  
5 Munaitpasov St,  
010008 Astana, Kazakhstan  
E-mail: burenkov@gmail.com, tararykova@gmail.com

Vagif Guliyev  
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics  
Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan  
F. Agayev St. 9  
AZ 1141 Baku, Azerbaijan  
E-mail: vagif@guliyev.com

Ayhan Serbetci  
Ankara University  
Department of Mathematics,  
06100 Tandogan-Ankara, Turkey  
E-mail: serbetci@science.ankara.edu.tr